



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

DATE MAILED: 11/30/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/619,859	07/15/2003	Minoru Hasegawa	2500.68176 7727		
759	90 11/30/2004		EXAMINER		
Patrick G. Bur	ns, Esq.		TUPPER, R	OBERT S	
GREER, BURNS & CRAIN, LTD.			ADTIBUT		
Suite 2500			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
300 South Wacker Dr.			2652		
Chicago II 60	0.00				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application N	lo.	Applicant(s)				
	10/619,859		HASEGAWA ET	AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
	Robert S Tup		2652				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the co	ver sheet with the c	orrespondence a	ddress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replection of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, holy within the statutory will apply and will explications, cause the applications.	owever, may a reply be tim minimum of thirty (30) days ire SIX (6) MONTHS from on to become ABANDONE!	nety filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this of	ely. communication.			
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 J	July 2003.						
	s action is non-	final.					
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
•	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in the application	1.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
_ ·	or						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>15 July 2003</u> is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		· •	, ,	ER 1 121(d)			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
	محامد والمعامم م	251100 0 440/->	(d) or (f)				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
and the promity declaration in the book received.							
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
and account designed emiss design for a list of the continue copies not received.							
·							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4)	Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	·	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te	O 152\			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)	Notice of Informal Page 1	arear uphinamon (L.)	0-102)			
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office							
PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office A	Action Summary	Pai	rt of Paper No./Mail D	Date 20041116			

Application/Control Number: 10/619,859 Page 2

Art Unit: 2652

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 1-5 and 12, drawn to a magnetic head structure, classified in class

360, subclass 126.

II. Claims 6-11 and 13, drawn to a method of making a head, classified in

class 29, subclass 603.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The

inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the

process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2)

that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process

(MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case be made using materially different methods –

e.g. forming the pole in two thinner layering steps without making a depression in a

thicker pole (re claim 6), or forming the second pole layer using a technique other than

doping, such a any known coating process.

3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have

acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction

for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

<u>IF THE INVENTION OF GROUP I IS ELECTED, APPLICANT MUST ALSO MAKE AN</u>

ELECTION OF SPECIES AS FOLLOWS:

Application/Control Number: 10/619,859

Art Unit: 2652

4. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: (A) figure 3, and (B) figure 8.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are considered generic.

IF THE INVENTION OF GROUP II IS ELECTED, APPLICANT MUST MAKE THE FOLLOWING ELECTION OF SPECIES:

- 5. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: (A) figures 5a-5c, and (B) figures 9A-9B.

 Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are considered generic.
- 6. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include

Application/Control Number: 10/619,859

Art Unit: 2652

all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

7. A telephone call was made to Mr. J. Snider on 11/16/04 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

8. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 2652

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert S Tupper whose telephone number is 703-308-1601. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri, 6:00 AM - 3:30 PM (first Fri off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hoa Nguyen can be reached on 703-305-9687. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Robert S Tupper Primary Examiner Art Unit 2652

rst